## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Taurean Akila Kenan,	)	C/A No.0:09-2998-HFF-PJG
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
VS.	)	ORDER
	)	
Captain Teresa Spellar,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

The plaintiff has filed this action, *pro se*, seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, an inmate with the South Carolina Department of Corrections, alleges violations of his constitutional rights by the named defendants. The defendant filed a motion for summary judgment on June 9, 2010, pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Docket Entry 18.) As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court entered an order pursuant to <u>Roseboro v. Garrison</u>, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975) on June 10, 2010, advising the plaintiff of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. (Docket Entry 21.) The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, the defendant's motion may be granted, thereby ending his case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's <u>Roseboro</u> order, the plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that the plaintiff shall advise the court as to whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to the defendant's motion for summary judgment within fourteen (14) days from the date of this order. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Davis v. Williams, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Vaige J. Gossett

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

July 19, 2010 Columbia, South Carolina